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#### Abstract

LW-sequences are in common currency for encoding binary trees. Wu et al. [13] proposed an algorithm associated with tree rotations for listing all binary trees in diverse representations including $L W$-sequences. In particular, such a list of LW-sequences is generated in Gray-code order. Based on this ordering, we propose an efficient algorithm for ranking binary trees with $n$ internal nodes. Our algorithm can be run in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and requires $\mathcal{O}(n)$ space.
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## I. Introduction

There are many applications in computer science to exhaustively generate a class of combinatorial objects (objects for short), e.g. combinatorial group testing, counterexample searching, and algorithm performance analyzing. It is the practice to encode objects into integer sequences and generate these sequences in a particular order. Due to enormous sequences need to be generated, it is necessary to design an efficient generating scheme. In particular, Gray-code order is a very common use in recent development [7], [8], [11], [13], [16][18] because the change between two successive sequences is restricted to only one position. In addition, it is important to efficiently rank and unrank objects in a list of Gray-code order [1], [14], [15]. For a set of objects generated in a particular order, a ranking algorithm can be used to determine the ranking of an appointed object. By contrast, an unranking algorithm can be used to produce the corresponding sequence of object with respect to the specific ranking.

Binary trees are the most fundamental objects used in data structure and so that there are many algorithms for generating these objects [4], [6], [7], [9]-[11], [13], [17]. Pallo [6] adopted the so-called left-weight sequences (LW-sequences for short) to encode binary trees. Moreover, for generating LW-sequences in lexicographic order, Pallo proposed a constant amortized time algorithm (CAT algorithm for short). See also [12] for another
recursive CAT algorithm. At a later time, more algorithms that generates LW-sequences in Gray-code order were provided in [7], [10]. Recently, a loopless algorithm associated with tree rotations for simultaneously generating four types of binary tree representations in Gray-code order (including LWsequences) was proposed by Wu et al. [13].

Based on the Gray-code order of LW-sequences introduced in [13], we will propose an efficient ranking algorithm in this paper. The technique used in this paper relies on a set of corresponding sequences of binary trees called left-distance sequences (LD-sequences for short) that was originated by Mäkinen in [5]. The correspondence between LW-sequences and LD-sequences was found out by Lucas et al. [4]. In addition, a flip-flap tree structure introduced in [13] will facilitate our discussion about the Gray-code order of LWsequences.

We organize the remaining part of this paper as follows. In Section 2, we give the definitions of LW-sequences and LD-sequences formally and show the correspondence between them. In Section 3, we introduce the structure of flip-flap trees to generate the Gray-code order of LW-sequences. In Section 4, we present our ranking algorithm and show the correctness. Finally, we provide a concluding remark in the last section.

## II. Preliminaries

A rooted and ordered tree is called an extended binary tree if every internal node has exactly two children. i.e., the left child and the right child [2]. We suppose that an extended binary tree $T$ with $n$ internal nodes are numbered from 1 to $n$ in symmetric order (i.e., we visit the tree $T$ in the left subtree, the root, and the right subtree recursively). For a node $i \in T$, we denote $T_{i}$ as the subtree rooted at $i$. Moreover, the subtree rooted at the left child of $i$, denoted by $L_{i}$, is called the left subtree of $i$, and the subtree rooted at the right child of $i$, denoted by $R_{i}$, is called the right subtree of $i$. Also, The path from the root to its leftmost leaf is called the left arm of $T$.

## A. Left-weight sequences

For a binary tree $T$, the left weight of a node $i \in$ $T$, denoted by $w(T, i)$, is defined to be the number of leaves in $L_{i}$. Pallo [6] further defined the integer sequence $w(T)=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ to be the left-weight sequence (LW-sequence for short) of $T$, where we simply write $w_{i}$ instead of $w(T, i)$. Note that LW-sequences are the most adoption for encoding binary trees. For example, Fig. 1 shows a binary tree $T$ with 9 internal nodes whose LW-sequence is $w(T)=(1,2,1,1,1,2,1,5,7)$. Pallo [6] characterized an integer sequence $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ to be an LW-sequence of a binary tree if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ : (i) $1 \leqslant w_{i} \leqslant i$ and (ii) $i-w_{i} \leqslant j-w_{j}$ for all $i-w_{i}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant i$. The following lemma shows that a node on the left arm of a subtree is easy to be checked.

Lemma 1. (See [12], [13].) Let $T$ be a binary tree and $j \in T$ an internal node. A node $i$ is contained in the left arm of $R_{j}$ if and only if $i-w_{i}=j$.


Fig. 1. LW-sequences and LD-sequences of a binary tree.

## B. Left-distance sequences

Mäkinen [5] used a measure called left distance to represent a binary tree. For a binary tree $T$, a left-distance sequence (LDsequence for short) of $T$, denoted by $d(T)=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, is an integer sequence to represent such a binary tree, where the left distance $d_{i}$ for each node $i \in T$ is recursively defined as follows:

$$
d_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \text { is the root of } T \\ d_{p(i)} & \text { if } i \text { is a left child; } \\ d_{p(i)}+1 & \text { if } i \text { is a right child }\end{cases}
$$

where $p(i)$ stands for the parent of node $i$ in $T$. Obviously, every node lying on the left arm of $T$ has the left distance 0 . Moreover, for a node $i$, every node lying on the left arm of $R_{i}$ has left distance $d_{i}+1$. For instance, the LD-sequence of the binary tree shown in Fig. 1 is $d(T)=(0,0,1,2,3,3,4,2,1)$. Mäkinen [5] characterized an integer sequence $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ to be an LD-sequence if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled: $d_{1}=0$ and $0 \leqslant d_{i} \leqslant d_{i-1}+1$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

## C. Sequence correspondences

Let $\mathbf{W}(n)$ and $\mathbf{D}(n)$ be the sets of all LW-sequences and LD-sequences with length $n$, respectively. Lucas et al. [4] revealed close relationship between these two sets. By transforming from a particular representation of binary trees, called codewords, introduced by Zerling [19] to LW-sequences and LD-sequences respectively, Lucas et al. showed that the lists of $\mathbf{W}(n)$ and $\mathbf{D}(n)$ preserve the reverse lexicographic order. Indeed, the relationship is based solely on the property of sequences and not of the corresponding trees. Since till now there is no explicit scheme to transform LW-sequences to LDsequences or vice versa, this inspires us to provide transformations between these two types of sequences. Before this, we need some auxiliary properties.
Lemma 2. Let $T$ be a binary tree. For every internal node $i \in T$,

$$
d_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=1 \\ d_{i-1}+1 & \text { if } i \geqslant 2 \text { and } w_{i}=1 \\ d_{i-w_{i}+1} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $d_{1}=0$. Let $i$ be the node in the left arm of a subtree $R_{j}$ for $j \geqslant 1$ such that $w_{i}=1$. It is obvious that $i=j+1 \geqslant 2$. Since every node lying on the left arm of $R_{j}$ has left distance $d_{j}+1$, we have $d_{i}=d_{j}+1=d_{i-1}+1$. Moreover, if $i^{\prime}$ is a node in the left arm of $R_{j}$ and $i^{\prime} \neq i$, by Lemma 1 we have $j=i^{\prime}-w_{i^{\prime}}$. Thus, $d_{i^{\prime}}=d_{i}=d_{j+1}=d_{i^{\prime}-w_{i^{\prime}}+1}$.

Lemma 3. Let $T$ be a binary tree and $i \in T$ an internal node. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad w_{i}= \begin{cases}i & \text { if } d_{i}=0 ; \\
1 & \text { if } d_{i} \neq 0 \text { and } d_{i}>d_{i-1} ; \\
w_{i-1}+1 & \text { if } d_{i} \neq 0 \text { and } d_{i}=d_{i-1} ; \\
i-F\left(d_{i}\right)+w_{F\left(d_{i}\right)} & \text { if } d_{i} \neq 0 \text { and } d_{i}<d_{i-1},\end{cases} \\
& \text { where } F\left(d_{i}\right)=\max \left\{j \in T: d_{j}=d_{i} \text { for } j<i\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We imagine that $T$ is the right subtree of a dummy node numbered by 0 . If $d_{i}=0$, then the node $i$ is contained in the left arm of $R_{0}$ (i.e., the left arm of $T$ ). By Lemma 1, we have $w_{i}=i$. We now consider $d_{i} \neq 0$. For $d_{i}>d_{i-1}$, the node $i$ is contained in the left arm of $R_{i-1}$. Again by Lemma 1 , we have $i-w_{i}=i-1$, and thus $w_{i}=1$. For $d_{i}=d_{i-1}$, it is clear that $i-1$ is the left child of $i$, and the right child of $i-1$ must be a leaf. Thus, $w_{i}=w_{i-1}+1$. Finally, for $d_{i}<d_{i-1}$, it is clear that $i-1 \in L_{i}$ and the node numbered by $F\left(d_{i}\right)$ is the left child of $i$. Since the number of leaves in $R_{F\left(d_{i}\right)}$ is $i-F\left(d_{i}\right)$, this implies that $w_{i}=i-F\left(d_{i}\right)+w_{F\left(d_{i}\right)}$.

Based on Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, linear time transformations between LW-sequences and LD-sequences are shown in Fig 2. Therefore, we obtain the result of Theorem 4.

Theorem 4. Transformations between an LW-sequence and an $L D$-sequence of length $n$ can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

```
Procedure LW-sequence to LD-sequence
    begin
        \(d_{1} \leftarrow 0 ;\)
        for \(i \leftarrow 2\) to \(n\) do
                if \(w_{i}=1\) then
                    \(d_{i} \leftarrow d_{i-1}+1 ;\)
                else
                \(d_{i} \leftarrow d_{i+1-w_{i}} ;\)
```

Procedure LD-sequence to LW-sequence
begin
for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
if $d_{i}=0$ then $w_{i} \leftarrow i$;
else
if $d_{i}>d_{i-1}$ then $w_{i} \leftarrow 1$;
if $d_{i}=d_{i-1}$ then $w_{i} \leftarrow w_{i-1}+1$;
if $d_{i}<d_{i-1}$ then $w_{i} \leftarrow i-F\left(d_{i}\right)+w_{F\left(d_{i}\right)}$;
$F\left(d_{i}\right) \leftarrow i ;$

Fig. 2. Linear time transformations between LW- and LD-sequences.

## III. Flip-Flap trees and Gray-code order ENUMERATIONS

In [13], Wu et al. adopted the following coding tree, called flip-flap tree, to describe the Gray-code order of all LWsequences of length $n$ in a systematic way. A flip-flap tree $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ is a rooted tree consisting of $n$ levels such that every node is associated with a label $w_{i}$ and every path from the root of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ to a leaf represents a distinct LW-sequence $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$. A non-leaf node $x \in \mathbb{T}_{n}$ has an up-fragment (respectively, a down-fragment) if the labels of $x$ 's children in $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ are arranged (from left to right) in increasing order (respectively, decreasing order). In particular, a flip-flap tree introduced in [13] fulfills the following conditions: (1) there is only one node (i.e., the root) with label 1 in level 1 ; (2) for each level $i \geqslant 2$, the two particular labels 1 and $i$ appear in the boundary of each
fragment; (3) every non-leaf node has either an up-fragment or a down-fragment; (4) if a node has an up-fragment, then its adjacency siblings (if exist) must have a down-fragment, and vice versa (i.e., up-fragments and down-fragments alternately appear in each level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ ). According to the correspondences between $\mathbf{W}(5)$ and $\mathbf{D}(5)$, Fig. 3 shows a flip-flap tree $\mathbb{T}_{5}$, where every node is associated with two label $w_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ (For brief, we denoted by $w_{i} / d_{i}$ ).

In the above arrangement of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ if $x$ and $y$ are two adjacent leaves, the sequences from the root to $x$ and to $y$ are said to be consecutive. Wu et al. [13] showed that in a flip-flap tree any two consecutive LW-sequences differ in exactly one digit. This establishes the base to enumerate LW-sequences in Gray-code order. Although the list of LW-sequences produces a Graycode order, it should be note that the list of the corresponding LD-sequences does not. For instance, the second and the third LD-sequences generated from $\mathbb{T}_{5}$ are $(0,0,0,0,1)$ and ( $0,0,0,1,2$ ), respectively (see Fig. 3). Nonetheless, the usage of LD-sequences has the advantage of ranking binary trees in the arrangement of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$. The following property is significant when we design the ranking algorithm.

Proposition 5. Let $x \in \mathbb{T}_{n}$ be a non-leaf node with label (i.e., the left distance) $k$ in the ith level. Then, $x$ has $k+2$ sons labeld by $0,1, \ldots, k+1$, where these sons are arranged in either an up-fragment or a down fragment.

In what follows, unless otherwise stated, we use left distance to indicate the label of a node in $\mathbb{T}_{n}$. Let $A_{i, k}$ denote the number of leaves in the subtree rooted at a node with label $k$ in the $i$ th level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$. Obviously, $A_{n, k}=1$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$ and $A_{n-1, k}=k+2$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$. In general, by Proposition 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i, k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} A_{i+1, j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant i-1$.


Fig. 3. A flip-flap tree $\mathbb{T}_{5}$ for LW-sequences and LD-sequences.

For the efficiency of computation, we define the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{i, j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant i-1$. Solving Eq. (2), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be an integer. For each $i=2, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant i-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, k}=\frac{k+1}{2 m+k+1}\binom{2 m+k+1}{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=n-i+1$.
Table I and Table II show the computational results of $A_{i, k}$ and $B_{i, k}$ for $n=5$. For convenience, such tables are called triangle table and accumulation table, respectively.

TABLE I. THE TRIANGLE TABLE FOR $n=5$

| $A_{i, k}$ |  | $k$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 14 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

TABLE II. THE ACCUMULATION TABLE FOR $n=5$

| $B_{i, k}$ |  |  | $k$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 14 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 5 | 14 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |

Note that the term $B_{i, k}$ indicates the total number of leaves for those subtrees rooted at nodes with labels from 0 to $k$ in the $i$ th level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$. In addition, from Eq. (3) we can obtain the following corollary which is useful for designing ranking algorithm.

Corollary 7. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be integer. For each $i=2, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant i-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i-1, k}=\frac{B_{i, k}}{m+1} \cdot \frac{(2 m+k+1)(2 m+k+2)}{m+k+2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=n-i+1$.

## IV. RANKING ALGORITHM

In this section, we will develop the ranking algorithm. Let $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ be the set of binary trees with $n$ internal nodes. It is wellknown that $\left|\mathscr{T}_{n}\right|=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ (i.e., the $n$th level of Catalan number [2]). Since the correspondence between LW- and LDsequences has already built in the previous section, we can obtain the rank of a binary tree $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n}$ in the Gray-code order of LW-sequences if the rank of $T$ associating with the LD-sequence in $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ is provided.

For a given binary tree $T$ associated with LD-sequence $d(T)=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, let $x_{i}$ be the node with label $d_{i}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{n}$, and let $R(i)$ be the rank of $x_{i}$ in the $i$ th level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$. Note that the goal of our ranking algorithm is to find $R(n)$. For instance, if we consider the path $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ with labels $0,1,2,2,0$ in $\mathbb{T}_{5}$ (see Fig. 3), we have $R(1)=0, R(2)=1$, $R(3)=2, R(4)=7$ and $R(5)=22$. Since each level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ begins with an up fragment for LD-sequences and the two types of fragments appear alternately, it is easy to check the following property.
Proposition 8. For $1 \leqslant i<n$, if the rank of a node in the ith level of $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ is even (respectively, odd), then its sons are arranged in an up fragment (respectively, a down fragment).

Moreover, since the ranking is performed from left to right in $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ and it starts with 0 at the beginning, we first set $R(i)=0$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. From Proposition 8, there are two cases to update $R(j)$ for $i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. If $R(i) \equiv 0(\bmod )$ 2 , the sons of $x_{i}$ are arranged in an up fragment. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(j)=R(j)+B_{\ell, d_{i+1}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $d_{i+1} \neq 0$ and $\ell=n-j+i+1$. On the other hand, if $R(i) \equiv 1(\bmod ) 2$, the sons of $x_{i}$ are arranged in a down fragment. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(j)=R(j)+\left(B_{\ell, d_{i}+1}-B_{\ell, d_{i+1}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $d_{i+1} \neq d_{i}+1$ and $\ell=n-j+i+1$. According to Eqs. (5) and (6), we design the algorithm shown in Fig. 4.

```
Function \(\operatorname{Ranking}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)\)
    begin
        for \(i=1\) to \(n\) do \(R(i)=0\);
        for \(i=1\) to \(n-1\) do
            if \(R(i) \equiv 0(\bmod ) 2\) then \(/ /\) an up fragment
                if \(d_{i+1} \neq 0\) then
                    for \(j=i+1\) to \(n\) do
                    \(R(j)=R(j)+B_{\ell, d_{i+1}-1}\) where
                    \(\ell=n-j+i+1\);
            else
                                    // a down fragment
            if \(d_{i+1} \neq d_{i}+1\) then
                for \(j=i+1\) to \(n\) do
                    \(R(j)=R(j)+\left(B_{\ell, d_{i}+1}-B_{\ell, d_{i+1}}\right)\)
                    where \(\ell=n-j+i+1\);
    return \(R(n)\);
```

Fig. 4. The ranking algorithm.

Example 1. To perform $\operatorname{Ranking}(0,1,2,2,0)$, we initially set $R(1)=R(2)=R(3)=R(4)=R(5)=0$. When $i=1$, the sons of $x_{1}$ are arranged in an up fragment because $R(1)=0$ is even. Since $d_{2} \neq 0$, we have the following updates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(2)=R(2)+B_{5,0}=0+1=1, \\
& R(3)=R(3)+B_{4,0}=0+2=2, \\
& R(4)=R(4)+B_{3,0}=0+5=5,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R(5)=R(5)+B_{2,0}=0+14=14 .
$$

When $i=2$, the sons of $x_{2}$ are arranged in a down fragment because $R(2)=1$ is odd. In this case, since $d_{i+1}=d_{i}+1=3$, we keep $R(3), R(4)$ and $R(5)$ to be unchanged. When $i=3$, the sons of $x_{3}$ are arranged in an up fragment because $R(3)=2$ is even. Since $d_{4} \neq 0$, we have the following updates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(4)=R(4)+B_{5,1}=5+2=7 \\
& R(5)=R(5)+B_{4,1}=14+5=19
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, when $i=4$, the sons of $x_{4}$ are arranged in a down fragment because $R(4)=7$ is odd. Since $d_{5} \neq d_{4}+1$, we perform the following update:

$$
R(5)=R(5)+B_{5,3}-B_{5,1}=19+4-1=22
$$

As a result, the algorithm outputs $R(5)=22$.

Obviously, building the accumulation table using Eq. (3) requires $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and space. Now, we will show that every element in the accumulation table can indeed be accessed in a constant time provided we make a preprocessing in advance. We observe that the numerator of a fractional number in the right hand side of Eq. (4) includes the product of two consecutive integers. Let $x=2 m+k+1$ and $y=m+k+2$. Also, define $f(x)=x(x+1)$ and $g(y)=y$. Then, Eq. (4) can be reformulated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i-1, k}=\frac{B_{i, k}}{m+1} \cdot \frac{f(x)}{g(y)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=n-i+1$. To obtain some requisite terms in the accumulation table from Eq. (7), we build a preprocessing table of size $(2 n-3) \times 2$ to store the terms of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ for $3 \leqslant z \leqslant 2 n-1$, where the first term $z=2 m+k+1=$ $m+k+2=3$ is due to the initial conditions $m=1$ (i.e., $i=n$ ) and $k=0$. For example, for $n=5$, the desired table is as follows.

| $z$ | $g(z)$ | $f(z)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | 12 |
| 4 | 4 | 20 |
| 5 | 5 | 30 |
| 6 | 6 | 42 |
| 7 | 7 | 56 |
| 8 | 8 | 72 |
| 9 | 9 | 90 |

Clearly, $f(z+1)=f(z) \cdot \frac{(z+2)}{z}$ and $g(z+1)=g(z)+1$. Thus, the table can be constructed in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time. In addition, since every element in the last row of the accumulation table is easy to obtain (i.e., $B_{n, k}=k+1$ ), we can compute all elements in the same column by using the preprocessing table.

For example, we have known $B_{4,1}=5$. Since $i=4$ (i.e., $m=5-4+1=2$ ) and $k=1$ in this stage, we have the terms $g(y)=y=m+k+2=5$ and $f(x)=x(x+1)=(6)(7)=42$
where $x=2 m+k+1=6$. Thus, using $x$ and $y$ as indices to search for the preprocessing table, we can computed $B_{3,1}$ as follows:

$$
B_{3,1}=\frac{B_{4,2}}{m+1} \cdot \frac{f(6)}{g(5)}=\frac{5}{3} \cdot \frac{42}{5}=14
$$

To continue the computation of $B_{2,1}$, since the current stage has changed $i$ to 3 (i.e., $m=3$ ) and $k=1$, the indices $x$ and $y$ can be updated from their previous values by adding an extra offset 2 and 1 , respectively. Thus, we have $x=6+2=8$ and $y=5+1=6$. Furthermore, we obtain

$$
B_{2,1}=\frac{B_{3,1}}{m+1} \cdot \frac{f(8)}{g(6)}=\frac{14}{4} \cdot \frac{72}{6}=42 .
$$

We repeat such a process until all required elements in the same column are calculated. Since building the preprocessing table needs $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time and space and the ranking algorithm can be run in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time, we conclude the following.

Theorem 9. For LW-sequences of binary trees with $n$ internal nodes, determining the rank of a tree in a Gray-code oder can be done in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ space.

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we present a ranking algorithm of binary trees with $n$ internal nodes encoded by LW-sequences in a Gray-code order. This algorithm can be run in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ time and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ space, respectively. As a future work, an interesting problem is to propose an unranking algorithm. To the best of our knowledge, so far no algorithm exists to solve such an unranking problem of Gray-code order for LW-sequences.
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